

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,013	ADAM-WORRALL, JULIA	

Examiner	Art Unit	
EMILY BERNHARDT	1624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) EMILY BERNHARDT.

(3) ____.

(2) Ms. Hess.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Emily Bernhardt/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The foreign priority document is not present in the electronic file nor was it present by accessing the following web address: <http://www.wipo.int/pctdb/en/>. The examiner contacted WIPO via email and was informed that WIPO never received the EPO priority document and it was not requested pursuant to PCT Rule 17.1(b). Accordingly, priority of said document date cannot be granted unless applicants can supply a copy of said document in compliance with the PCT rules.